


SINGLE BENCH

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 231 /2007

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

PETITIONER — Smt. Sangita Narang (B.Ed.), aged about 42 years, wife of Shri Govind Ram Narang, Lecturer, Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Dharamjaigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

**VERSUS**

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of School Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Distt. Education Officer, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
3. Principal, Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Dharamjaigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
31/1/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

XI-HC-78

(18)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P.(S) no 221/07 **सन् 200**

**आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)**

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | 18.1.2007<br><br>Mr. Ajay Shrivastava and A.N. Bhakta, Advocates for the petitioners.<br><br>Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.<br><br>With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of.<br><br>Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 221 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately.<br><br>A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.<br><br>Sd/-<br>Satish K.Agnihotri<br>Judge | |

Bablu

P.T.O.

(19)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

---

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

---

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 221 OF 2007

Petitioner : Jhala Ram Kurre

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No.222 OF 2007

Petitioner : Punit Ram Banjare

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No.223 OF 2007

Petitioner : Udho Ram Dahare

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No.228 OF 2007

Petitioner : Kailashpati Nayak

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 229 OF 2007

Petitioner : Rajkumar Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 230 OF 2007

Petitioner : Harsit Sarkar

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 231 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Sangita Narang

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 232 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Krishna Ram Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 233 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Goverdhan Yadav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 234 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Michael Tirkey @ Mikhile Tirkey

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**And**

**WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 235 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Smt. Prabha Sharma

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava and Mr. A.N. Bhakta, Advocates for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

---

**O R D E R**

(Passed on this 18th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by

the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96